

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
 2 Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737)
 3 claudestern@quinnemanuel.com
 4 Evette D. Pennypacker (Bar No. 203515)
 5 evetepennypacker@quinnemanuel.com
 6 Thomas E. Wallerstein (Bar No. 232086)
 7 tomwallerstein@quinnemanuel.com
 8 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560
 9 Redwood Shores, California 94065
 10 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
 11 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

12
 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant
 14 Kraft Foods Holdings, Inc. and Third Party
 15 Defendant Kraft Foods Global, Inc.

16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

1
 2
 3 KRAFT FOODS HOLDINGS, INC.,

4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 CASE NO. C 08-930 PJH

1
 2
 3 Plaintiff,

4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION OF P&G
 FOR SCHEDULING AND STATUS
 CONFERENCE

1
 2
 3 v.

4
 5
 6 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY,

7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 Defendant.

1
 2
 3
 4 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY,

5
 6
 7 Counterclaim Plaintiff,

8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 DATE: April 9, 2008
 TIME: 9:00 a.m.
 PLACE: Courtroom 3, 17th Floor
 JUDGE: Hon. Patricia J. Hamilton

1
 2
 3 v.

4
 5
 6 KRAFT FOODS HOLDINGS, INC.,

7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 Counterclaim Defendant

1
 2
 3 and

4
 5
 6 KRAFT FOODS GLOBAL, INC.,

7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 Third-Party Defendant.

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION OF P&G FOR SCHEDULING AND STATUS
 CONFERENCE
 CASE NO. C 08-930 PJH

1 Before the Court is an administrative motion of The Procter & Gamble Company (“P&G”)
2 for a scheduling and status conference. Having considered the pleadings and other papers on file
3 herein and argument submitted by counsel for P&G as well as Kraft Foods Holdings, Inc. and
4 Kraft Foods Global, Inc., the evidence submitted in support of and in opposition to the motion,
5 and with good cause appearing,

6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

7 P&G’s administrative motion for a scheduling and status conference is **DENIED**.

8
9
10
11 DATED: _____, 2008

The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton
United States District Judge

quinn emanuel